

# A Note on “A Tight Lower Bound on the Number of Channels Required for Deadlock-Free Wormhole Routing”

Li Sheng and Jie Wu, *Senior Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—In [1], Libeskind-Hadas provided a tight lower bound on the number of channels required by a broad class of deadlock-free wormhole routing algorithms. In this short note, we show a simpler proof of the tight lower bound.

**Index Terms**—Deadlock-free routing, interconnection networks, strongly connected digraphs.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In [1], Libeskind-Hadas provided a tight lower bound on the number of channels required by a deterministic or coherent deadlock-free routing algorithm. Given an interconnection network represented by a directed graph or a digraph  $G = (N, C)$ , where each vertex in  $N$  represents a node and each directed edge in  $C$  represents a unidirectional channel, Libeskind-Hadas showed that  $|C| \geq 2|N| - 2$  is the tight lower bound on the number of channels required for deadlock-free wormhole routing. Note that a digraph may contain self-loops and multiple edges from one vertex to another, although a digraph for an interconnection network normally does not contain self-loops.

In this short note, we first review some basic concepts, present the problem, and finally provide a simpler proof of a major result in [1]. Given a digraph, two vertices,  $u$  and  $v$ , are said to be *strongly connected* if there exist directed paths from  $u$  to  $v$  and from  $v$  to  $u$ .  $e = (u, v)$  represents a directed edge from  $u$  to  $v$ . The existence of a deterministic or coherent adaptive deadlock-free wormhole routing in a given interconnection network  $G = (N, C)$  is based on the following two requirements:

1. *Strongly connected requirement*:  $G = (N, C)$  is strongly connected.
2. *Strictly decreasing path requirement*: There exists a deadlock-free labeling function  $f : C \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |C|\}$  such that, for every pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , there exists a path  $p = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $v_0 = u$  and  $v_k = v$ , and  $f(v_{i-1}, v_i) > f(v_{j-1}, v_j)$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ .

Throughout,  $n = |N|$  represents the number of vertices in  $G = (N, C)$  and  $|C(G)|$ , or simply  $|C|$ , represents the number of edges in  $G$ . For any vertex  $v \in N$ , we use  $out(v) = \{(v, w) : w \in N\}$  to denote the set of edges outgoing from vertex  $v$  and use  $in(v) = \{(u, v) : u \in N\}$  to denote the set of edges incoming to vertex  $v$ . Given a labeling function  $f : C \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |C|\}$ , for each vertex  $v \in N$ , let *max-out-label* of vertex  $v$  be  $o(v) = \max\{f(e) : e \in out(v)\}$ , and let *min-in-label* of vertex  $v$  be  $i(v) = \min\{f(e) : e \in in(v)\}$ . A directed path from  $u$  to  $v$ , with strictly decreasing labels (given by labeling function  $f$ ) on the edges, is called a *strictly decreasing path* from  $u$  to  $v$  (with respect to  $f$ ). Note that the labeling function does not need to be a one-to-one function. That is, it is possible that  $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$  for  $e_1 \neq e_2$ .

- L. Sheng is with the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104.
- J. Wu is with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL 33431.  
E-mail: jie@polaris.cse.fau.edu.

Manuscript received 17 Dec. 1998; accepted 26 July 2000.

For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mail to: tc@computer.org, and reference IEEECS Log Number 108484.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $G = (N, C)$  be a strongly connected digraph with  $n$  vertices, with  $f : C \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |C|\}$  being a one-to-one labeling function on the edges. If  $\min\{o(v) : v \in N\} \geq n$ , then  $|C| \geq 2n - 1$ .

**Proof.** Notice that, for two different vertices  $u, v$ ,  $o(u) \neq o(v)$  because  $out(u) \cap out(v) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\{o(v) : v \in N\}$  is a set of  $n$  distinct numbers, with each number being greater than or equal to  $n$ . Therefore, there exists a vertex  $v^*$  such that  $o(v^*) = \max\{o(v) : v \in N\} \geq 2n - 1$ , which implies that  $|C| \geq 2n - 1$ .  $\square$

We now present a major result and then the result in [1].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G = (N, C)$  be a strongly connected digraph with  $n$  vertices. Suppose there is a one-to-one labeling function  $f : C \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |C|\}$  on the edges of  $G$  such that, for every pair of vertices  $u, v$ , there is a directed and strictly decreasing path from  $u$  to  $v$ . Then,  $|C| \geq 2n - 2$ .

**Proof.** Use vertex  $t$  to denote the vertex with the *maximum min-in-label*. That is,  $i(t) = \max\{i(v) : v \in C\}$ . Then,  $i(t) \geq n$  because  $i(t)$  is the maximum over  $n$  distinct numbers. Since there is a strictly decreasing path from any other vertex to  $t$  in  $G$ , we can construct a spanning subgraph  $G_t$  of  $G$  rooted to  $t$  as follows: For every vertex  $v \neq t$ , find a directed and strictly decreasing path from  $v$  to  $t$  and put all the edges on the path into  $G_t$ .

Analogously, using  $s$  to denote the vertex with the *minimum max-out-label*, we can construct a spanning subgraph  $G_s$  of  $G$  rooted from  $s$  as follows: For every vertex  $u \neq s$ , find a directed decreasing path from  $s$  to  $u$  and put all the edges on the path into  $G_s$ .

Based on Lemma 1, we may assume that  $o(s) \leq n - 1$  (otherwise, this theorem is proven). Then, it is easy to see that  $C(G_s) \cap C(G_t) = \emptyset$ , for, otherwise, there would be an edge  $(a, b) \in C(G_s) \cap C(G_t)$ . This means that there is a directed and strictly decreasing path  $p_1 = s, s_1, \dots, a, b$  in  $G_s$ , and there is a directed and strictly decreasing path  $p_2 = a, b, \dots, t_1, t$  in  $G_t$ . Thus, we have

$$n - 1 \geq o(s) \geq f(s, s_1) \geq f(a, b) \geq f(t_1, t) \geq i(t) \geq n$$

which brings a contradiction. Therefore,

$$|C| \geq |C(G_s)| + |C(G_t)| \geq (n - 1) + (n - 1) = 2n - 2. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3 [1].** Theorem 2 is still valid when the one-to-one labeling function  $f$  is replaced by a general labeling function  $g$ .

**Proof.** Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{|C|}$  be an order of edges in a nondecreasing order of their edge labels based on  $g$ , i.e.,  $g(e_i) \leq g(e_j)$  for  $i < j$ . We define a one-to-one labeling function  $f$  such that  $f(e_i) = i$ . It is easy to see that, for any  $i \neq j$ ,  $g(e_i) < g(e_j)$  implies that  $f(e_i) < f(e_j)$ . Then, a strict decreasing path in  $G$  with respect to  $g$  is also a strict decreasing path in  $G$  with respect to  $f$ . Therefore, for every pair of vertices  $u, v$  in  $G$ , there is a directed and strictly decreasing path from  $u$  to  $v$  with respect to  $f$ . Based on Theorem 2,  $|C| \geq 2n - 1$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank R. Libeskind-Hadas for his valuable comments on improving the quality of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Libeskind-Hadas, “A Tight Lower Bound on the Number of Channels Required for Deadlock-Free Wormhole Routing,” *IEEE Trans. Computers*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 1,158-1,160, Oct. 1998.